

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------------|------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/772,748 | | 01/30/2001 | Alfred L. Chi | 11602-004001 | 9458 | |
| 26161 | 7590 | 05/30/2003 | , | | | |
| FISH & R | | SON PC | EXAMINER | | | |
| 225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110 | | | | ELISCA, F | ELISCA, PIERRE E | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 3621 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003 | , | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Examiner

Applicant(s)

09/772,748

Art Unit

Pierre E. Elisca

3621

Alfred L. Chi

| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet with the correspondence address |
|------------------------------------|--|---|
| | for Reply | |
| THE | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | |
| | ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication. | no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the |
| - If NO - Failure - Any re | period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within to beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | , |
| 1) 💢 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 30/2001 |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b) X This act | tion is non-final. |
| 3) 🗆 | Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$ | except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is irte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposi | tion of Claims | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) 1-26 | is/are pending in the application. |
| 4 | a) Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | is/are allowed. |
| 6) 🕱 | Claim(s) | is/are rejected. |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) | is/are objected to. |
| 8) 🗆 | Claims | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. |
| | tion Papers | |
| 9) 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply | to this Office action. |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam | iner. |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | |
| 13) 🗌 | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p | riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a) 🗆 | All b)□ Some* c)□ None of: | |
| | 1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav | re been received. |
| | 2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav | re been received in Application No |
| | application from the International Bure | |
| *S | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th | e certified copies not received. |
| 14) | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). |
| a⟩∟ | or a second and the second and providence | |
| 15)∟ | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. |
| Attachm | | |
| | tice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). |
| _ • | tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) promation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| ~, ke h | Taper No(s). | 6) Cther: |

Art Unit: 3621



Examiner Pierre Eddy Elisca

United States Department of Commerce

Patent and Trademark Office

Washington, D.C. 20231

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office action is in response to Application No. 09/772,748, filed on 01/30/2001.
- 2. Claims 1-26 are pending.

CLAIM OBJECTION

3. Claims 3, 5, and 12 are objected to because of the following informality. Applicant is advised to define the acronym "VETO". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 (b)

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Art Unit: 3621

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Arnold et al. (U.S.

Pat. No. 6,016,504).

As per claims 1, 2, 4, 6-11, and 13-26Arnold discloses a method for establishing and maintaining a

virtual outlet relationship on the Internet between an entity that controls and manage a Web site

constituting a virtual outlet and a merchant (which is readable as Applicant's claimed invention

wherein it is stated that a method in which a virtual purchase information)

virtual purchase information, which does not include any identifier of a real financial account, is

provided, in an on-line connection to a merchant, to pay for an item (see., fig 1A, col 4, lines 1-32),

the merchant provides the item and the virtual purchase information to a delivery service (see., Fig

5, it is inherent to realize that the organization's address in fig 5 can be sent to a delivery service, col

9, lines 10-45), at the delivery service, the virtual purchase information is converted to a real delivery

address (see., Figs 1A, 1B, and 5, col 7, lines 30-67, col 8, lines 1-6), and the item is delivered to the

delivery address (see., col 7, lines 30-67, col 8, lines 1-6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary

Art Unit: 3621

skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the

invention was made.

7. Claims 3, 5, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Arnold

et al. In view of Official Notice.

As per claims 3, 5 and 12, Arnold discloses the claimed limitations as stated in claims 1 and 4 above.

It is to be noted that Arnold fails to explicitly disclose that the delivery service includes FEDEX or

UPS or the United States Postal Service. However, the Examiner hereby takes Official Notice that

FEDEX or UPS or United States Postal service are notoriously well-known in the art, and therefore,

it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a delivery system that

includes FEDEX or UPS or USPS because it is a mean of delivery goods or items to customers.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Pierre

Eddy Elisca at (703) 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from

6:30AM. to 5:00PM.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Art Unit: 3621

The Official Fax Number For TC-3600 is:

(703) 305-7687

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Patent Examiner

March 27, 2003